1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney THERESE M. STEWART, State Bar #104930 Chief Deputy City Attorney DANNY CHOU, State Bar #180240 Chief of Complex and Special Litigation VINCE CHHABRIA, State Bar #208557 ERIN BERNSTEIN, State Bar #231539 CHRISTINE VAN AKEN, State Bar #241755 MOLLIE M. LEE, State Bar #251404 Deputy City Attorneys City Hall, Room 234 One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102-4682 Telephone: (415) 554-4708 Facsimile: (415) 554-4699 Attorneys for Proposed Intervenors CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
11					
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
14	KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J.	Case No. 09-CV-22	92 VRW		
15	ZARRILLO,	[PROPOSED] OR TO INTERVENE	DER GRANTING MOTION		
16	Plaintiffs,	Hearing Date:	August 19, 2009		
17	VS.	Time: Place:	10:00 a.m. Courtroom 6, 17th Fl.,		
18	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official capacity as Governor of California;		450 Golden Gate Ave.		
19	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., in his official capacity as Attorney General of California;	Trial Date:	Not set		
20	MARK B. HORTON, in his official capacity as Director of the California Department of				
21	Public Health and State Registrar of Vital Statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in her official				
22	capacity as Deputy Director of Health Information & Strategic Planning for the				
23	California Department of Public Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his official				
24	capacity as Clerk-Recorder for the County of Alameda; and DEAN C. LOGAN, in his				
25	official capacity as Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk for the County of Los Angeles,				
26	Defendants.				
27	Detendants.	_			
28					

I	
1	and
2	PROPOSITION 8 OFFICIAL PROPONENTS
3	DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH, GAIL J. KNIGHT, MARTIN F. GUTIERREZ, HAK- SHING WILLIAM TAM, and MARK A.
4	JANSSON; and PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM – YES ON 8, A PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA
5	RENEWAL,
6	Defendant-Intervenors.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CCSF [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO INTERVENE CASE NO. 09-CV-2292 VRW

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER			
2	City & County of San Francisco moved to intervene in this action as a party plaintiff. For good			
3	cause appearing, the Motion to Intervene is hereby GRANTED.			
4	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that City & County of San Francisco is permitted to intervene			
5	as a party plaintiff in this action, and its Proposed Complaint in Intervention shall be filed.			
6				
7				
8	Dated: August, 2009			
9	Hon. Vaughn R. Walker United States Chief District Judge			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22 23				
23 24				
24 25				
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$				
20 27				
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$				