

1 EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
 Attorney General of California
 2 JONATHAN K. RENNER
 Senior Assistant Attorney General
 3 GORDON BURNS
 Deputy Solicitor General
 4 TAMAR PACHTER
 Deputy Attorney General
 5 State Bar No. 146083
 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
 6 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
 Telephone: (415) 703-5970
 7 Fax: (415) 703-1234
 E-mail: Tamar.Pachter@doj.ca.gov
 8 *Attorneys for Defendant*
Attorney General Edmund G. Brown Jr.
 9

10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

<p>13 KRISTIN M. PERRY, et al.,</p> <p>14</p> <p>15 Plaintiffs,</p> <p>16</p> <p>17 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,</p> <p>18 Defendants.</p>	<p>Case No. 09-CV-2292 VRW</p> <p>ATTORNEY GENERAL’S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION</p> <p>Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker, C.J. Trial Date: January 11, 2010 Action Filed: May 27, 2009</p>
--	---

19

20 This case arises under a factual and legal history that is unique to California. In May 2008,

21 the California Supreme Court held that denying same-sex couples the right to marry while

22 affording them the benefits of marriage through the domestic partnership law violated principles

23 of equal protection, liberty, and privacy found in the state Constitution. *In re Marriage Cases*, 43

24 Cal.4th 757 (2008). The following November, a bare majority of California voters passed

25 Proposition 8, which amended the state Constitution to declare that only marriages between a man

26 and a woman would be recognized. Between May and November 2008, over 18,000 same-sex

27 couples were married. In 2009, the California Supreme Court upheld the validity of these

28 marriages but declared that the voters had the authority to carve out of the state Constitution an

1 exception to the rights of liberty and equal protection with respect to marriage. *Strauss v. Horton*,
2 46 Cal.4th 364 (2009). Still, the court left undisturbed the liberty and equal protection principles
3 that were recognized in the *In re Marriage Cases* and that are at issue in this federal constitutional
4 challenge.

5 The Attorney General of California is sworn to uphold the Constitution of the United States
6 in addition to the Constitution of the State of California. Cal. Const., art. XX, § 3. The United
7 States Constitution is the “supreme law of the land.” U.S. Const., art. VI, § 2; Cal. Const., art. III,
8 § 1. Taking from same-sex couples the right to civil marriage that they had previously possessed
9 under California’s constitution cannot be squared with guarantees of the Fourteenth Amendment.
10 Accordingly, the Attorney General answers the Complaint in Intervention consistent with his duty
11 to uphold the United States Constitution, as Attorney General Thomas C. Lynch did when he
12 argued that Proposition 14, passed by the California voters in 1964, was incompatible with the
13 Federal Constitution. *See Reitman v. Mulkey*, 387 U.S. 369 (1967).

14 1. In response to the first sentence of paragraph 1 of the Complaint in Intervention, the
15 Attorney General admits that Proposition 8 violates the federal constitutional rights of lesbians
16 and gay men by denying them marriage licenses. Except as specifically admitted herein, the
17 Attorney General lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
18 matters asserted in the first sentence of paragraph 1 of the Complaint in Intervention, and on that
19 basis denies them. The Attorney General admits the remaining allegations of paragraph 1 of the
20 Complaint in Intervention.

21 2. The Attorney General lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
22 the truth of the matters asserted in paragraph 2 of the Complaint in Intervention and on that basis
23 denies them.

24 3. The Attorney General adopts and incorporates by reference paragraphs 3 and 4 of his
25 Answer, Docket # 39.

26 4. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 4 of the Complaint in
27 Intervention.

28

1 5. The Attorney General admits that the relief sought in intervention is as stated in
2 paragraph 5 of the Complaint in Intervention.

3 6. The Attorney General admits that Plaintiff-Intervenor seeks the relief as stated in
4 paragraph 6 of the Complaint in Intervention.

5 7. The Attorney General admits the allegations of the first two sentences of paragraph 7
6 of the Complaint in Intervention. Except as specifically admitted, the Attorney General lacks
7 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining matters
8 asserted in paragraph 7 of the Complaint in Intervention and on that basis denies them.

9 8. The Attorney General admits that Plaintiff-Intervenor seeks the relief as stated in
10 paragraph 8 of the Complaint in Intervention.

11 9. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 9 of the Complaint in
12 Intervention.

13 10. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 10 of the Complaint in
14 Intervention.

15 11. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 11 of the Complaint in
16 Intervention.

17 12. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 12 of the Complaint in
18 Intervention.

19 13. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 13 of the Complaint in
20 Intervention.

21 14. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 14 of the Complaint in
22 Intervention.

23 15. The Attorney General adopts and incorporates by reference paragraphs 20-36 of his
24 Answer, Docket # 39.

25 16. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 16 of the Complaint in
26 Intervention.

27 17. The Attorney General admits the allegations of the first sentence of paragraph 17 of
28 the Complaint in Intervention. Except as specifically admitted, the Attorney General lacks

1 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining matters
2 asserted in paragraph 17 of the Complaint in Intervention and on that basis denies them.

3 18. The Attorney General lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
4 the truth of the matters asserted in paragraph 18 of the Complaint in Intervention and on that basis
5 denies them.

6 19. The Attorney General lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
7 the truth of the matters asserted in paragraph 19 of the Complaint in Intervention and on that basis
8 denies them.

9 20. The Attorney General admits the allegations of the first sentence of paragraph 20 of
10 the Complaint in Intervention. Except as specifically admitted, the Attorney General lacks
11 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining matters
12 asserted in paragraph 20 of the Complaint in Intervention and on that basis denies them.

13 21. The Attorney General lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
14 the truth of the matters asserted in paragraph 21 of the Complaint in Intervention and on that basis
15 denies them.

16 22. The Attorney General lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
17 the truth of the matters asserted in paragraph 22 of the Complaint in Intervention and on that basis
18 denies them.

19 23. The Attorney General lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
20 the truth of the matters asserted in paragraph 23 of the Complaint in Intervention and on that basis
21 denies them.

22 24. The Attorney General lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
23 the truth of the matters asserted in paragraph 24 of the Complaint in Intervention and on that basis
24 denies them.

25 25. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 25 of the Complaint in
26 Intervention.

27 26. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 26 of the Complaint in
28 Intervention.

1 27. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 27 of the Complaint in
2 Intervention.

3 28. In response to the first sentence of paragraph 28 of the Complaint in Intervention, the
4 Attorney General admits that lesbians and gay men have historically lacked the political power to
5 ensure protection of their rights through the political process and that they still lack the political
6 power fully to ensure that protection. The Attorney General admits all of the remaining
7 allegations in paragraph 28. Except as specifically admitted herein, the Attorney General denies
8 the allegations of paragraph 28 of the Complaint in Intervention

9 29. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 29 of the Complaint in
10 Intervention.

11 30. In response to paragraph 30 of the Complaint in Intervention, the Attorney General
12 admits that the understanding of civil marriage under California law, which is at issue in this case,
13 has evolved significantly over time. For example, in 1948, civil marriage was expanded to
14 include interracial marriage. Notwithstanding this evolution, civil marriage continues to be a
15 relevant and esteemed legal institution. Except as specifically admitted herein, the Attorney
16 General denies the allegations of paragraph 30 of the Complaint in Intervention.

17 31. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 31 of the Complaint in
18 Intervention.

19 32. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 32 of the Complaint in
20 Intervention.

21 33. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 33 of the Complaint in
22 Intervention.

23 34. The Attorney General lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
24 the truth of the matters asserted in paragraph 34 of the Complaint in Intervention and on that basis
25 denies them.

26 35. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 35 of the Complaint in
27 Intervention.

28

1 36. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 36 of the Complaint in
2 Intervention.

3 37. In response to paragraph 37 of the Complaint in Intervention, the Attorney General
4 states that marriage as such does not necessarily promote gender stereotypes, but admits that
5 limiting marriage to opposite-sex couples could promote gender stereotypes that in other contexts
6 have long been rejected as an illegitimate basis for legal classifications. Except as specifically
7 admitted herein, the Attorney General lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief
8 as to the truth of the matters asserted in paragraph 37 of the Complaint in Intervention, and on
9 that basis denies them.

10 38. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 38 of the Complaint in
11 Intervention.

12 39. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 39 of the Complaint in
13 Intervention.

14 40. The Attorney General lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
15 the truth of the matters asserted in paragraph 40 of the Complaint in Intervention and on that basis
16 denies them.

17 41. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 41 of the Complaint in
18 Intervention.

19 42. The Attorney General admits the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 42 of
20 the Complaint in Intervention. Except as specifically admitted herein, the Attorney General lacks
21 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the matters alleged in
22 paragraph 42 of the Complaint in Intervention and on that basis denies them.

23 43. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 43 of the Complaint in
24 Intervention.

25 44. In response to paragraph 44 of the Complaint in Intervention the Attorney General
26 incorporates here by reference paragraphs 1 through 43 of this Answer, as if fully set forth herein.

27
28

1 45. The Attorney General admits that to the extent that Proposition 8 took from gay men
2 and lesbians their previously held fundamental right to marry, the measure violates the Due
3 Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

4 46. In response to the first sentence of paragraph 46 of the Complaint in Intervention, the
5 Attorney General admits that to the extent that Proposition 8 took from Plaintiffs their previously
6 held fundamental right to marry, the measure violates the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth
7 Amendment to the United States Constitution. The Attorney General admits the remaining
8 allegations of paragraph 46 of the Complaint in Intervention.

9 47. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 47 of the Complaint in
10 Intervention.

11 48. In response to paragraph 48 of the Complaint in Intervention the Attorney General
12 incorporates here by reference paragraphs 1 through 47 of this Answer, as if fully set forth herein.

13 49. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 49 of the Complaint in
14 Intervention.

15 50. The Attorney General admits the allegations of paragraph 50 of the Complaint in
16 Intervention.

17 51. In response to the allegations of paragraph 51 of the Complaint in Intervention, the
18 Attorney General admits that Proposition 8 withdrew from lesbians and gay men, but not others,
19 specific legal protections afforded by the California Constitution, and thus imposed a special
20 disability on those persons alone in violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth
21 Amendment. Except as specifically admitted, the Attorney General lacks knowledge or
22 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the matters asserted in paragraph 51 of the
23 Complaint in Intervention and on that basis denies them.

1 Dated: August 28, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

2

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
Attorney General of California

3

JONATHAN K. RENNER
Senior Assistant Attorney General

4

GORDON BURNS
Deputy Solicitor General

5

6

7

/s/ Tamar Pachter

8

TAMAR PACTER
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Defendant

9

Attorney General Edmund G. Brown Jr.

10 SA2009310603
Document in ProLaw

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28