1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669	
2	City Attorney THERESE M. STEWART, State Bar #104930 Chief Deputy City Attorney	
3	DANNY CHOU, State Bar #180240	
4	Chief of Complex and Special Litigation RONALD P. FLYNN, State Bar #184186	
5	VINCE CHHABRIA, State Bar #208557 ERIN BERNSTEIN, State Bar #231539	
	CHRISTINE VAN AKEN, State Bar #241755	
6	MOLLIE M. LEE, State Bar #251404 Deputy City Attorneys	
7	City Hall, Room 234 One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place	
8	San Francisco, California 94102-4682 Telephone: (415) 554-4708	
9	Facsimile: (415) 554-4699	
0		
1	Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
12		
13	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
14	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
15	KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER,	Case No. 09-CV-2292 VRW
16	PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J.	
17	ZARRILLO,	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO'S OPPOSITION TO
18	Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANT-INTERVENORS' MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE TO
19	vs.	EXCEED PAGE LIMITATIONS
	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official	T. 1D. 11 2000
20	capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND G. BROWN JR., in his official capacity as Attorney	Trial Date: Jan. 11, 2009
21	General of California; MARK B. HORTON, in his official capacity as Director of the California	
22	Department of Public Health and State Registrar of Vital Statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in her	
23	official capacity as Deputy Director of Health	
24	Information & Strategic Planning for the California Department of Public Health;	
25	PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his official capacity as Clerk-Recorder for the County of Alameda;	
	and DEAN C. LOGAN, in his official capacity as Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk for the County	
26	of Los Angeles,	
27	Defendants,	
28		

CCSF Opp to Motion re Excess Pages CASE NO. 09-CV-2292 VRW and

PROPOSITION 8 OFFICIAL PROPONENTS DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH, GAIL J. KNIGHT, MARTIN F. GUTIERREZ, HAK-SHING WILLIAM TAM, and MARK A. JANSSON; and PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM – YES ON 8, A PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA RENEWAL.

Defendant-Intervenors.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,

Plaintiff-Intervenor

VS.

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND G. BROWN JR., in his official capacity as Attorney General of California; MARK B. HORTON, in his official capacity as Director of the California Department of Public Health and State Registrar of Vital Statistics; and LINETTE SCOTT, in her official capacity as Deputy Director of Health Information & Strategic Planning for the California Department of Public Health,

Defendants.

Plaintiff-Intervenor the City and County of San Francisco joins in the opposition of Plaintiffs
Perry et al. to the Motion of the Yes On 8 Defendant-Intervenors for leave to file a 98-page brief in
support of a motion for summary judgment. The City agrees with the Perry Plaintiffs that such a brief
is excessive even in light of the importance of the issues in this case, and further that the DefendantIntervenors failed to follow the Court's Local Rules in dealing with this matter. Counsel for the City
offered to stipulate to a more modest extension of the page limit and to a brief extension of time in
order for Defendant-Intervenors to reduce the size of their brief accordingly, but DefendantIntervenors was not interested in that offer. See Declaration of Therese M. Stewart filed herewith.
For these reasons, the City respectfully requests that the Court deny Defendant-Intervenors' Motion to
file the brief submitted, and hold that the motion is untimely or, in the alternative, require them to file

Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document179 Filed09/10/09 Page3 of 4

1	a motion that meets the Court's page limit requirements by the close of business on Monday,	
2	September 14 and extend Plaintiffs' time for filing opposition by three court days.	
3	Dated: September 10, 2009 DENNIS J. HERRERA	
4	City Attorney THERESE M. STEWART	
5	Chief Deputy City Attorney DANNY CHOU	
6 7	CHIEF OF COMPLEX & SPECIAL LITIGATION RONALD P. FLYNN	
8	VINCE CHHABRIA ERIN BERNSTEIN	
9	CHRISTINE VAN AKEN MOLLIE M. LEE	
10	Deputy City Attorneys	
11	By:	
12	THERESE M. STEWART	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document179 Filed09/10/09 Page4 of 4

1	Pursuant to General Order 45, § X(B), I hereby attest that the concurrence in the filing of
2	this document has been obtained from single signatory, Therese M. Stewart.
3	
4	Dated: September 10, 2009
5	By: /s/
6	By: /s/ RONALD P. FLYNN
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
8	CITT THE COUNTY OF STATEMENCISCO
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	