Exhibit L

1	COOPER AND KIRK, PLLC Charles J. Cooper (DC Bar No. 248070)*		
2	ccooper@cooperkirk.com		
	David H. Thompson (DC Bar No. 450503)*		
3	dthompson@cooperkirk.com Howard C. Nielson, Jr. (DC Bar No. 473018)*		
4	hnielson@cooperkirk.com		
•	Nicole J. Moss		
5	nmoss@cooperkirk.com (DC Bar No. 472424)		
6	Jesse Panuccio jpanuccio@cooperkirk.com (DC Bar No. 981634)		
6	Peter A. Patterson (Ohio Bar No. 0080840)*		
7	ppatterson@cooperkirk.com		
	1523 New Hampshire Ave. N.W., Washington, D.C		
8	Telephone: (202) 220-9600, Facsimile: (202) 220-96	501	
9	LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW P. PUGNO		
	Andrew P. Pugno (CA Bar No. 206587)		
10	andrew@pugnolaw.com	05620	
11	101 Parkshore Drive, Suite 100, Folsom, California Telephone: (916) 608-3065, Facsimile: (916) 608-30		
11	1010phone. (>10) 000 5005, 1 acsimile. (>10) 000 50	300	
12	ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND		
12	Brian W. Raum (NY Bar No. 2856102)*		
13	braum@telladf.org James A. Campbell (OH Bar No. 0081501)*		
14	jcampbell@telladf.org		
	15100 North 90th Street, Scottsdale, Arizona 85260		
15	Telephone: (480) 444-0020, Facsimile: (480) 444-0	028	
16	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT-INTERVENORS DENNIS	HOLLINGSWORTH,	
	GAIL J. KNIGHT, MARTIN F. GUTIERREZ, HAK-SHING WILLIAM TAM,		
17	MARK A. JANSSON, and PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM – PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA RENEWAL	YES ON 8, A	
18	PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA RENEWAL		
	* Admitted pro hac vice		
19		ICEDICE COLUDE	
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20	NORTHERN DISTRIC	1 of Cherry Charles	
21	KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER,		
22	PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J.	CASE NO. 09-CV-2292 VRW	
22	ZARRILLO,	CASE NO. 09-C V-2292 V K W	
23	DI :	DECLARATION OF HAK-SHING	
	Plaintiffs,	WILLIAM TAM IN SUPPORT OF	
24	V	DEFENDANT-INTERVENORS' MO- TION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER	
25	V.	TION FOR AT ROTECTIVE ORDER	
	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official	Date: September 25, 2009	
26	capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND	Time: 10:00AM	
27	G. BROWN, JR., in his official capacity as At-	Judge: Chief Judge Vaughn R. Walker Location: Courtroom 6, 17th Floor	
<u>-</u> ′	torney General of California; MARK B. HOR-		
28		1	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	TON, in his official capacity as Director of the California Department of Public Health and State Registrar of Vital Statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in her official capacity as Deputy Director of Health Information & Strategic Planning for the California Department of Public Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his official capacity as Clerk-Recorder for the County of Alameda; and DEAN C. LOGAN, in his official capacity as Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk for the County of Los Angeles,	
8	Defendants,	
9	and	
10 11 12 13	PROPOSITION 8 OFFICIAL PROPONENTS DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH, GAIL J. KNIGHT, MARTIN F. GUTIERREZ, HAK- SHING WILLIAM TAM, and MARK A. JANS- SON; and PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM – YES ON 8, A PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA RE- NEWAL,	
14	Defendant-Intervenors.	
15 16 17 18 19 20	ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND Timothy Chandler (CA Bar No. 234325) tchandler@telladf.org 101 Parkshore Drive, Suite 100, Folsom, California 95630 Telephone: (916) 932-2850, Facsimile: (916) 932-2851 Jordan W. Lorence (DC Bar No. 385022)* jlorence@telladf.org Austin R. Nimocks (TX Bar No. 24002695)* animocks@telladf.org 801 G Street NW, Suite 509, Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 393-8690, Facsimile: (202) 347-3622	
21 22 23		
24	* Admitted pro hac vice	
25	I, Hak-Shing William Tam, make the following declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746:	
26	1. I am a resident of California over 18 years of age, and my statements herein are based of	
27	personal knowledge.	
28	2	

- 2. I am one of the Official Proponents of the California ballot measure in 2008 known as Proposition 8. I am also a Defendant-Intervener in this case. As an official proponent I had private communications regarding political strategy and my own personal political and moral views with other members of ProtectMarriage.com and the campaign.
- 3. In addition to being an Official Proponent, I volunteered as the head of a coalition of Asian churches whose membership also had an interest in the passage of Proposition 8. The coalition communicated with interested churches about the campaign and encouraged them to get out and vote. As the head of this coalition, I had numerous private communications reflecting mine and others' deeply held political and religious views and our thoughts on political strategy and petitioning the government. I engaged in these communications as part of this coalition, not in my capacity as an official proponent of Proposition 8. I am very concerned that Plaintiffs' broad discovery requests make no distinction in this regard and would require me to produce all such private communications because they were between me and a "third-party."
- 4. If I am required to disclosure such communications, whether the non-public communications I had as an official proponent or the communications I had as the head of a coalition interested in Proposition 8, it would affect how I communicate in the future. I would change what I say, who I feel I can speak to, and who I associate with for fear that such communications would not remain private as they were intended.
- 5. I am also concerned about disclosing such communications because I am aware of many instances of harassment and retaliation against supporters of Proposition 8 that occurred after their support for the ballot initiative or their affiliation with Protect Marriage became public. For example, a friend in my church was beaten by a person when he was passing out "Yes on 8" flyers. Another friend's house was vandalized with spray paint graffiti. Another friend's name was put

online as a donor to Proposition 8. Her boss saw the webpage and warned her about supporting Proposition 8. A good number of friends got their Proposition 8 yard signs stolen or vandalized.

6. I personally experienced harassment and retaliation due to my affiliation with Protect Marriage. My car was vandalized. In response to public communications I made regarding Proposition 8, I was called derogatory names, threatened to be killed, and told to leave the country.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

Executed on September 15, 2009

Hak-Shing Wikiam Tam