1 2 3 4	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP Theodore B. Olson, SBN 38137 tolson@gibsondunn.com Matthew D. McGill, pro hac vice Amir C. Tayrani, SBN 229609 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C Telephone: (202) 955-8668, Facsimile: (202) 467-0		
5 6 7 8 9	Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr., SBN 132009 tboutrous@gibsondunn.com Christopher D. Dusseault, SBN 177557 Ethan D. Dettmer, SBN 196046 Sarah E. Piepmeier, SBN 227094 Theane Evangelis Kapur, SBN 243570 Enrique A. Monagas, SBN 239087 333 S. Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, California 900 Telephone: (213) 229-7804, Facsimile: (213) 229-7	71 7520	
11 12 13 14	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP David Boies, pro hac vice dboies@bsfllp.com Theodore H. Uno, SBN 248603 333 Main Street, Armonk, New York 10504 Telephone: (914) 749-8200, Facsimile: (914) 749-8300 Attorneys for Plaintiffs KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO		
15	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
161718	NORTHERN DISTRI KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO,	CT OF CALIFORNIA CASE NO. 09-CV-2292 VRW	
17 18 19	KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J.		
17 18	KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO, Plaintiffs, v. ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND	CASE NO. 09-CV-2292 VRW STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY OF EXPERT	
17 18 19 20	KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO, Plaintiffs, v. ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., in his official capacity as Attorney General of California; MARK B.	CASE NO. 09-CV-2292 VRW STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY OF EXPERT	
17 18 19 20 21	KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO, Plaintiffs, v. ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., in his official capacity as Attorney General of California; MARK B. HORTON, in his official capacity as Director of the California Department of Public Health and	CASE NO. 09-CV-2292 VRW STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY OF EXPERT	
17 18 19 20 21 22	KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO, Plaintiffs, v. ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., in his official capacity as Attorney General of California; MARK B. HORTON, in his official capacity as Director of the California Department of Public Health and State Registrar of Vital Statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in her official capacity as Deputy	CASE NO. 09-CV-2292 VRW STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY OF EXPERT	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO, Plaintiffs, v. ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., in his official capacity as Attorney General of California; MARK B. HORTON, in his official capacity as Director of the California Department of Public Health and State Registrar of Vital Statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in her official capacity as Deputy Director of Health Information & Strategic Planning for the California Department of Public Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his official	CASE NO. 09-CV-2292 VRW STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY OF EXPERT	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO, Plaintiffs, v. ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., in his official capacity as Attorney General of California; MARK B. HORTON, in his official capacity as Director of the California Department of Public Health and State Registrar of Vital Statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in her official capacity as Deputy Director of Health Information & Strategic Planning for the California Department of Public Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his official capacity as Clerk-Recorder for the County of Alameda; and DEAN C. LOGAN, in his official	CASE NO. 09-CV-2292 VRW STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY OF EXPERT	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO, Plaintiffs, v. ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., in his official capacity as Attorney General of California; MARK B. HORTON, in his official capacity as Director of the California Department of Public Health and State Registrar of Vital Statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in her official capacity as Deputy Director of Health Information & Strategic Planning for the California Department of Public Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his official capacity as Clerk-Recorder for the County of	CASE NO. 09-CV-2292 VRW STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY OF EXPERT	

1	
2	("Plain
3	Defend
4	Martin
5	Yes on
6	Schwar
7	Dean C
8	hereby
9	this ma
10	
11	commu
12	whethe
13	
14	of draft
15	Procedi
16	
17	examin
18	conside
19	informa
20	
21	origina
22	///
23	///
24	///
25	
26	
27	

Plaintiffs Kristin M. Perry, Sandra B. Stier, Paul T. Katami, and Jeffrey J. Zarrillo
("Plaintiffs"), Plaintiff-Intervenor City and County of San Francisco ("Plaintiff-Intervenor"),
Defendant-Intervenors Proposition 8 Official Proponents Dennis Hollingsworth, Gail J. Knight,
Martin F. Gutierrez, Hak-Shing William Tam, and Mark A. Jansson; and ProtectMarriage.com -
Yes on 8, A Project of California Renewal ("Defendant-Intervenors"), and Defendants Arnold
Schwarzenegger, Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Mark B. Horton, Linette Scott, Patrick O'Connell, and
Dean C. Logan ("Defendants") (collectively the "Parties"), through their respective counsel of record
hereby stipulate to the following regarding the scope of discovery concerning expert witnesses in
this matter:

- 1. The Parties stipulate and agree that no Party is entitled to discover the contents of inications that involve counsel for any Party and any retained expert or outside consultant, er such expert or outside consultant is serving as a testifying or non-testifying expert;
- 2. Further, the Parties stipulate and agree that no Party is entitled to discover the contents ts of expert witness disclosures or reports prepared in relation to Federal Rules of Civil ure Rule 26(a)(2);
- 3. Nothing in this stipulation limits in any way the right of either party to discover and ne the basis for a testifying expert's opinion or conclusion, the data or other information ered by the Outside Consultant in forming the opinion or conclusion, and any other ation subject to discovery under Rule 26(a)(2); and
- 4. This Stipulation may be signed in counterparts and facsimile signatures are deemed ls for all purposes.

28

Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document196 Filed09/22/09 Page3 of 12

1	DATED: September 16, 2009	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
2		
3		By:Christopher D. Dusseault
4		Christopher D. Dusseault
5		and
6		BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
7		David Boies
8		Attorneys for Plaintiffs KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO
9		
10	DATED: September 10, 2009	OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY
11		
12		By:Ronald Flynn
13		
14		Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
15	DATED: September 10, 2009	COOPER AND KIRK, PLLC
16	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,
17		Rv· /s/
18		By: /s/ David Thompson
19		Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenors PROPOSITION 8 OFFICIAL PROPONENTS; and
20		PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM – YES ON 8, A PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA RENEWAL
21		
22	DATED: September 16, 2009	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
23		
24		By: Tamar Pachter
25		
26		Attorneys for Defendant ATTORNEY GENERAL EDMUND G. BROWN, JR.
27		
28		
		2.

Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document196 Filed09/22/09 Page4 of 12

1	DATED: September 16, 2009	MENNEMEIER, GLASSMAN & STROUD LLP
2		
3		By: /s/ Kenneth C. Mennemeier
4		Attorneys for Defendants ARNOLD
5		SCHWARZENEGGER, MARK B. HORTON, and LINETTE SCOTT (the "Administration Defendants")
6		LINETTE SCOTT (the Administration Defendants)
7	DATED: September 10, 2009	THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL
8		
9		By: /s/ Claude F. Kolm, Deputy County Counsel
10		
11		Attorneys for Defendant PATRICK O'CONNELL, Clerk-Recorder for the County of Alameda
12	D. HTDD . G 1 40. 2 000	
13	DATED: September 10, 2009	THE OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL
14		
15		By:
16		Attorneys for Defendant DEAN C. LOGAN, Recorder/County Clerk for the County of Los Angeles
17		
18		<u>ORDER</u>
19	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS S	O ORDERED.
20		TATES DISTRICT
21	g . 1 . 22 2000	ORDERED E
22	Dated: September 22, 2009	HON IT IS SO ORDERED
23		
24		Judge Vaughn R Walker
25		Judge Vaugini 25
26		PAN DISTRICT OF CE
27		DISTRICT
28		

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER NO. 45 Pursuant to General Order No. 45 of the Northern District of California, I attest that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories to this document. By: /s/ Enrique A. Monagas Enrique A. Monagas

Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document196 Filed09/22/09 Page6 of 12

1	DATED: September 16, 2009	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
2	,	By: Christopher D. Dusseault
4		and
5		BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
6		David Boies
7 8		Attorneys for Plaintiffs KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO
9 10	DATED: September, 2009	OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY
11 12		By:Ronald Flynn
13 14		Ronald Flynn Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
15 16	DATED: September, 2009	COOPER AND KIRK, PLLC
17		By:
18		David Thompson
19 20		Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenors PROPOSITION 8 OFFICIAL PROPONENTS; and PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM – YES ON 8, A
21		PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA RENEWAL
22	DATED: September, 2009	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
23		
24		By: Tamar Pachter
25		Attorneys for Defendant
26		ATTORNEY GENERAL EDMUND G. BROWN, JR.
27		
28		
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP	00 CV 2202 VPV	2 / STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document196 Filed09/22/09 Page7 of 12 DATED: September , 2009 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1 2 3 Christopher D. Dusseault 4 and 5 **BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP** 6 David Boies 7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and 8 JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO 9 DATED: September 10, 2009 10 OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY 11 12 13 Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 14 15 DATED: September , 2009 COOPER AND KIRK, PLLC 16 17 David Thompson 18 19 Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenors PROPOSITION 8 OFFICIAL PROPONENTS; and 20 PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM – YES ON 8, A PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA RENEWAL 21 22 DATED: September , 2009 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 23 24 By:____ Tamar Pachter 25 Attorneys for Defendant ATTORNEY GENERAL EDMUND G. BROWN, JR. 26 27 28 Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document196 Filed09/22/09 Page8 of 12

1	DATED: September, 2009	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
2		
3		By: Christopher D. Dusseault
4		and
5		BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
6		David Boies
7		
8		Attorneys for Plaintiffs KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO
9		
10	DATED: September, 2009	OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY
11	,	
12		By:Ronald Flynn
13		Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor
14		CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
15		
16	DATED: September, 2009	COOPER AND KIRK, PLLC
17		
18		By: David Thompson / pb David Thompson
19		Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenors
20		PROPOSITION 8 OFFICIAL PROPONENTS; and PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM – YES ON 8, A
		PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA RENEWAL
21	70.00	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
22	DATED: September, 2009	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNET GENERAL
23		
24		By:Tamar Pachter
25		Attorneys for Defendant
26		ATTORNEY GENERAL EDMUND G. BROWN, JR.
27		
28		
unn &		2

Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document196 Filed09/22/09 Page9 of 12

1	DATED: September, 2009	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
2		
3		By:Christopher D. Dusseault
4		and
5		
6		BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
7		David Boies
8		Attorneys for Plaintiffs KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO
9		
10	DATED: September, 2009	OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY
11		
12		By:Ronald Flynn
13		Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor
14		CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
15	DATED: September, 2009	COOPER AND KIRK, PLLC
16		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
17		Bv:
18		By: David Thompson
19		Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenors PROPOSITION 8 OFFICIAL PROPONENTS; and
20		PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM – YES ON 8, A PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA RENEWAL
21		TROUBET OF CIEM ORGANIZATION
22	DATED: September // 2009	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
23		
24		By: Oaka Tachter Tamar Pachter
25		Attorneys for Defendant
26		ATTORNEY GENERAL EDMUND G. BROWN, JR.
27		
28		
		2

Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document196 Filed09/22/09 Page10 of 12

	ı DATEI	D: September 4 , 2009	MENNEMEIER, GLASSMAN & STROUD LLP
	2		V M
	3		By: Kenneth C. Mennemeier
	4		Attorneys for Defendants ARNOLD
	5		SCHWARZENEGGER, MARK B. HORTON, and LINETTE SCOTT (the "Administration Defendants")
	5	0. 0	THE APPLAT AT THE ALBERT AND BURN.
	7 DATEI 3	D: September, 2009	THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL
	00000000000000000000000000000000000000		75
	→		By:Lindsey Stern
y man	100 mm		Attorneys for Defendant PATRICK O'CONNELL, Clerk-Recorder for the County of Alameda
1.	2		
1		D: September, 2009	THE OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL
¥.	**		
1			By: Judy Whitehurst
1	5		Attorneys for Defendant DEAN C. LOGAN,
1	7		Recorder/County Clerk for the County of Los Angeles
1	×		ORDER
1:) DITRETT	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
2	FURBUANT TO STIFULATION, IT IS SO UNDERED.		
2	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000		
2	Dated:_		www.commonwellicommonw
2			HON, VAUGHN R. WALKER United States District Chief Judge
2			
2)		
2			
2	7 100725508	[_1 (2009-09-09 Stipulation re experts) (4).D	OC
2			
Aller To	representation in the control of the		3
Gibson, Durar Crutatier LLP		09-CV-2292 VR REGARDIN	W STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER NG DISCOVERY OF EXPERT WITNESSES

SEP.	. 10. 2009 9:50AM ALA_COUNTY_COUNSEL Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Docu	. NO. 088 P. 2 ment196 Filed09/22/09 Page11 of 12	
1	DATED: September, 2009	MENNEMEIER, GLASSMAN & STROUD LLP	
2	, ====	,	
3		By:	
4		Kenneth C. Mennemeier	
5		Attorneys for Defendants ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, MARK B. HORTON, and	
6		LINETTE SCOTT (the "Administration Defendants")	
7	DATED: September <u>/O</u> , 2009	THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL	
8		00 01/1	
9		By: Claude F. Kolm, Deputy County Counsel	
10		Attorneys for Defendant PATRICK O'CONNELL,	
11		Clerk-Recorder for the County of Alameda	
12	DATED: September, 2009	THE OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL	
13	<u> </u>		
14		By: Judy Whitehurst	
15			
16		Attorneys for Defendant DEAN C. LOGAN, Recorder/County Clerk for the County of Los Angeles	
17			
18		<u>ORDER</u>	
19	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20			
21 22	Details		
23	Dated:	HON. VAUGHN R. WALKER	
23		United States District Chief Judge	
25			
26			
27	100725508_1 (2009-09-09 Stipulation re experts) (4).DOC		
28			
		3	
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP	09-CV-2292 VRW STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER		

Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document196 Filed09/22/09 Page12 of 12 DATED: September , 2009 MENNEMEIER, GLASSMAN & STROUD LLP 1 2 By:_____ Kenneth C. Mennemeier 3 4 Attorneys for Defendants ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, MARK B. HORTON, and 5 LINETTE SCOTT (the "Administration Defendants") 6 7 DATED: September , 2009 THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL 8 9 By:____ Lindsey Stern 10 Attorneys for Defendant PATRICK O'CONNELL, Clerk-Recorder for the County of Alameda 11 12 DATED: September 10, 2009 THE OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL 13 14 15 Judy Whitehurst Attorneys for Defendant DEAN C. LOGAN, 16 Recorder/County Clerk for the County of Los Angeles 17 18 **ORDER** 19 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. 20 21 Dated: 22 HON. VAUGHN R. WALKER 23 United States District Chief Judge 24 25 26 27 100725508_1 (2009-09-09 Stipulation re experts) (4).DOC 28