	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document200	Filed09/23/09 Page1 of 2	
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California		
2	JONATHAN K. RENNER Senior Assistant Attorney General		
3	GORDON BURNS Deputy Solicitor General		
4	TAMAR PACHTER Deputy Attorney General		
5	State Bar No. 146083 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Ste 11000		
6	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5970		
7	Fax: (415) 703-1234 E-mail: Tamar.Pachter@doj.ca.gov		
8	Attorneys for Defendant Attorney General Edmund G. Brown Jr.		
9			
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13			
14			
15	KRISTIN M. PERRY, et al.,	Case No. 09-CV-2292 VRW	
16	Plaintiff and Appellee,	DEFENDANT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR	
17	v.	SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND JOINDER IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR	
18	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,	SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
19	Defendant and Appellant.	Date: October 14, 2009 Time: 10 a.m.	
20		Courtroom: 6 Judge Chief Judge Vaughn R. Walker	
21		Trial Date January 11, 2010 Action Filed: May 27, 2009	
22	Attorney General Edmund G. Brown Jr. opposes the motion for summary judgment filed		
23	by Defendant-Intervenors, Doc. 172, and he joins the opposition filed by Plaintiffs and Plaintiff-		
24 25	Intervenors (collectively, "Plaintiffs"). Although the Attorney General's analysis may differ in		
23 26			
20	some respects, the Attorney General has conceded the material facts in the Plaintiffs' complaints;		
28	agrees with the Plaintiffs that Proposition 8 violates the 14th Amendment, esentially for the		
-	1 Defendant Attorney General's Joinder in Plaintiffs-Interventors Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment		
	Defendant Attorney General's Joinder in Plaintiffs	Interventors Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment (09-16959)	

Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document200 Filed09/23/09 Page2 of 2

1	reasons given in In re Marriage Cases, 43 Cal.4th 757 (2008); agrees with Plaintiffs that some	
2	issues in the case could be resolved as a matter of law in <i>plaintiffs</i> ' favor, Doc. 191 at 2:9; and	
3	agrees that the Court should deny the motion for summary judgment.	
4		
5	Dated: September 23, 2009	Respectfully submitted,
6	Duied. September 23, 2007	Edmund G. Brown Jr.
7		Attorney General of California
8		
9		/s/ Gordon Burns
10		GORDON BURNS Deputy Solicitor General
11		Attorneys for Defendant Attorney General Edmund G. Brown Jr.
12		
13	SA2009102343	
14		
15 16		
10		
17		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		2
	Defendant Attorney General's Joinder in I	2 Plaintiffs-Interventors Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment
		(09-16959)