	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document22	24 Filed10/13/09 Page1 of 4
1	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP	
2	Theodore B. Olson, SBN 38137 tolson@gibsondunn.com	
3	Matthew D. McGill, <i>pro hac vice</i> Amir C. Tayrani, SBN 229609	
4	1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C Telephone: (202) 955-8668, Facsimile: (202) 467-0	
5	Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr., SBN 132009	
6	tboutrous@gibsondunn.com Christopher D. Dusseault, SBN 177557	
7	Ethan D. Dettmer, SBN 196046 Sarah E. Piepmeier, SBN 227094	
8	Theane Evangelis Kapur, SBN 243570 Enrique A. Monagas, SBN 239087	
9	333 S. Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, California 900 Telephone: (213) 229-7804, Facsimile: (213) 229-7	
10	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP David Boies, <i>pro hac vice</i>	
11	dboies@bsfllp.com	
12	Theodore H. Uno, SBN 248603 333 Main Street, Armonk, New York 10504	
13	Telephone: (914) 749-8200, Facsimile: (914) 749-8	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs KRISTIN M. PERRY, SAI PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17 18	PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J.	CASE NO. 09-CV-2292 VRW
	ZARRILLO, Plaintiffs,	
19 20	V.	DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER D. DUSSEAULT IN SUPPORT OF
20	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND	PLAINTIFFS' AND PLAINTIFF- INTERVENOR'S JOINT OPPOSITION TO
21	G. BROWN, JR., in his official capacity as	DEFENDANT-INTERVENORS' MOTION FOR A STAY PENDING APPEAL AND/OR
22	Attorney General of California; MARK B. HORTON, in his official capacity as Director of	PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS
23	the California Department of Public Health and State Registrar of Vital Statistics; LINETTE	Date: January 7, 2010 Time: 10:00 a.m.
24	SCOTT, in her official capacity as Deputy Director of Health Information & Strategic	Judge: Chief Judge Walker Location: Courtroom 6, 17th Floor
25	Planning for the California Department of Public Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his official	
26	capacity as Clerk-Recorder for the County of Alameda; and DEAN C. LOGAN, in his official	
27	capacity as Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk for the County of Los Angeles,	
28	Defendants.	

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

## Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document224 Filed10/13/09 Page2 of 4

I, Christopher D. Dusseault, declare as follows:

1. I am a partner at the law firm of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, and I am one of the attorneys of record for Plaintiffs Kristin M. Perry, Sandra B. Stier, Paul T. Katami, and Jeffrey J. Zarrillo ("Plaintiffs") in this action. I make this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' and Plaintiff-Intervenor's Joint Opposition to Defendant-Intervenors' Motion for a Stay Pending Appeal and/or Petition for Writ of Mandamus. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify hereto.

8 2. On October 8, 2009, counsel for Defendant-Intervenors, Jesse Panuccio, requested by 9 e-mail that Plaintiffs stipulate to an expedited briefing schedule for this Motion. Mr. Panuccio's 10 original proposal was that Plaintiffs respond to the Motion by Tuesday, October 13, just two business 11 days after filing of the Motion, and it made no mention of any reply brief. On that same day, I 12 responded to Mr. Panuccio's e-mail and stated that, while Plaintiffs were amenable to such an 13 expedited schedule, they wanted to be certain that the Court could, if desired, address the issues 14 raised by the Motion in the October 14, 2009 hearing on Defendant-Intervenors' Motion for 15 Summary Judgment. Accordingly, I sought to confirm that the briefing on Plaintiffs' Motion be 16 limited to the Motion and Plaintiffs' opposition.

3. Defendant-Intervenors sought to reserve the right to file a reply after October 13, 2009 18 or, alternatively, to shorten even further Plaintiffs' already shortened time to reply to Defendant-Intervenors' Motion. While the parties were not able to agree on a specific briefing schedule, both 20 parties agreed that the matter should be resolved as promptly as possible. A true and correct copy of the October 8, 2009 e-mail exchange between Mr. Panuccio and I reflecting these negotiations is 22 attached hereto as Exhibit A.

4.

17

19

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Plaintiffs have submitted their Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion as early as practicable.

5. Defendant-Intervenors have not yet offered to Plaintiffs any draft language for any protective order that could be applied to documents produced in this case.

6. Plaintiffs' efforts at obtaining information through third party discovery of information related to the strategy underlying the Prop. 8 campaign have been blocked as a result of Defendant-Intervenors' appeal because third parties such as Schubert Flint Public Affairs have

## Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document224 Filed10/13/09 Page3 of 4

incorporated by reference Defendant-Intervenors' First Amendment privilege defense (and 2 Defendant-Intervenors' interlocutory appeal of the order rejecting that defense as presented). A true 3 and correct copy of Schubert Flint's Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Subpoena to Produce 4 Documents is attached as Exhibit B.

7. On September 1, 2009, Defendant-Intervenors served a subpoena seeking communications substantially similar to those they seek to protect here from Fred Karger, founder of Californians Against Hate, as part of their discovery in ProtectMarriage.com v. Bowen (E.D. Cal. Case No. 2:09-cv-00058-MCE-DAD). A true and correct copy of this subpoena is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

8. 10 Defendant-Intervenors have not disclosed the identities of three members of the ad hoc 11 executive committee who provided the executive direction to the campaign or whether such 12 individuals have evidence relevant to this case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States, that these facts are true and correct and that this Declaration is executed this 13th day of October 2009 at Los Angeles, California.

> /s/ Christopher D. Dusseault Christopher D. Dusseault

1

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document224 Filed10/13/09 Page4 of 4	
1	ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER NO. 45	
2	Pursuant to General Order No. 45 of the Northern District of California, I attest that concurrence	
3	in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories to this document.	
4		
5		
6	By: <u>/s/ Theodore B. Olson</u> Theodore B. Olson	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
20		
21		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP	3	
	09-CV-2292 VRW DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER D. DUSSEAULT	