	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document	384 Filed01/08/10 Page1 of 25
1	David L. Llewellyn, Jr., SBN 71706	
2	Llewellyn † Spann 5530 Birdcage Street, Suite 210	
3	Citrus Heights, California 95610 916.966-9036	
4	916.966-9036 fax DLlewellyn@LS4law.com	
5	William C. Duncan	
6	Marriage Law Foundation 1868 N 800 E	
7	Lehi, Utah 84043 801-367-4570	
8	duncanw@marriagelawfoundation.org	
9	Attorneys for <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Ethics and Religious Liberty Commissio	n
10	of the Southern Baptist Convention	
11	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
12		STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13) Case no. 09-CV-2292 VRW
14	KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and	ý
15 16	JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO,	 MEMORANDUM OF LAW, BRIEF AMICUS CURIAE, OF THE STURS AND BELICIOUS
16 17	Plaintiffs, v.) OF THE ETHICS AND RELIGIOUS) LIBERTY COMMISSION OF THE
18	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in) SOUTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION
10	his official capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND G. BROWN, JR.,	
20	in his official capacity as Attorney General of California; MARK B.	
21	HORTON, in his official capacity as Director of the California Department of	
22	Public Health and State Registrar of Vital Statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in he	
23	official capacity as Deputy Director of Health Information & Strategic Planning	
24	for the California Department of Public Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his) Hearing: No hearing set
25	official capacity as Clerk-Recorder for the County of Alameda; and DEAN C.	
26	LOGAN, in his official capacity as Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk for	
27	the County of Los Angeles,	
28	Defendants.	_) _)
	Memorandum of Law, Brief Amicus Curiae, of th Ethics and Religious Liberty Commission SBC	10

I

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page2 of 25
1	Proposition 8 Official Proponents,
2	Proposition 8 Official Proponents,) DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH, GAIL J.) KNIGHT, MARTIN F. GUTIERREZ,) HAK-SHING WILLIAM TAM,)
3	MARK A. JANSSON; and) PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM-YES ON 8,)
4	A PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA) RENEWAL,
5	Defendants-Intervenors.
6 7	
' 8	The Ethics and Religious Liberty Commission of the Southern Baptist
9	Convention submits this memorandum of law as a brief <i>amicus curiae</i> in support of the
10	Defendants-Intervenors in this action.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
10	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Memorandum of Law, Brief Amicus Curiae, of the Ethics and Religious Liberty Commission SBC ii
	I description of the second

	Case3:09-	cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page3 of 25	
1		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2			Page
3	INTEREST	OF AMICUS CURIAE	1
4	ARGUMEN	т	1
5	_		
6 7	I.	RELIGIOUS COMMUNITIES HAVE LONG SUPPORTED MARRIAGE AS A SACRED INSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS IMPORTANT POLICY INTERESTS.	1
8	П.	RELIGIOUS SUPPORT FOR MARRIAGE AND	
9		OPPOSITION TO NONMARITAL SEXUALITY IS MOTIVATED BY LOVE.	4
10	III.	TO CHARACTERIZE RELIGIOUS SUPPORT FOR	
11		MARRIAGE AS UNCONSTITUTIONAL ANIMUS THREATENS THE ABILITY OF RELIGIOUS PEOPLE TO	-
12		PARTICIPATE IN PUBLIC DEBATE.	5
13	CONCLUSI	ON	7
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21 22			
22 23			
23 24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		of Law, Brief Amicus Curiae, of the ligious Liberty Commission SBC iii	

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page4 of 25	
1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	
2		Page
3	Cases	
4	Mitchell v. Helms (2000) 530 U.S. 793	6
5 6	Walz v. Tax Commission of City of New York (1970) 397 U.S. 664	6
7	Other Authorities	
8	The Baptist Faith and Message at http://www.sbc.net/BFM/bfm2000.asp	2, 4
9	"Manhattan Declaration: A Call of Christian Conscience," at http://www.manhattandeclaration.org/the-declaration	3
10	New American Standard Bible	passim
11	Richard W. Garnett, "Freedom for Faith, Freedom for All,"	passim
12	<i>First Things</i> (December 2009) at http://www.firstthings.com/article/2009/11/freedom-for-faith-freedom-for-all	6
13 14	Popenoe, David, <i>Life Without Father</i> (1996)	2
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
25 26		
20 27		
28		
	Memorandum of Law, Brief Amicus Curiae, of the Ethics and Religious Liberty Commission SBC i V	

1

INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE

2 The Ethics and Religious Liberty Commission (ERLC) of the Southern Baptist 3 Convention works to address the social and moral concerns of Southern Baptists and 4 the implications of these concerns for public policy at the local, state and national levels 5 with particular attention to their impact on American families and their faith. The 6 Southern Baptist Convention is the largest non-Catholic denomination in the nation with 7 over 16 million members. 8 The ERLC believes that an order by this court that California's constitutional 9 definition of marriage violates the guarantees of the United States Constitution would 10 undermine the critical contributions marriage has always made to society. The ERLC is 11 particularly concerned that acceptance of plaintiffs' argument in this case, that support 12 for traditional marriage stems necessarily from animus, unfairly represents the 13 reasonable and loving Christian understanding of marriage and sexuality. 14 Plaintiffs make the implausible charge that voter approval of Proposition 8, 15 California's constitutional definition of marriage, can only be explained by motives of 16 17 animus. Defendants-Intervenors have effectively demonstrated that this is not the case 18 as a factual matter. In this memorandum, the ERLC will explain why the charge of 19 animus is particularly inappropriate when leveled at mainstream religious faiths, many 20 of whose members supported Proposition 8. 21 ARGUMENT 22 **RELIGIOUS COMMUNITIES HAVE LONG SUPPORTED** Ι. 23 MARRIAGE AS A SACRED INSTITUTION THAT PROTECTS IMPORTANT POLICY INTERESTS. 24 25 For the Southern Baptist Convention, as for most mainstream faith traditions, 26 marriage between a man and a woman is sacred. Our commitment to marriage is 27 motivated by this core understanding of marriage as a sacred institution designed by 28 God. The Bible declares, "Marriage is to be held in honor among all." Hebrews 13:4 Memorandum of Law, Brief Amicus Curiae, of the 1 Ethics and Religious Liberty Commission SBC

Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page6 of 25

(New American Standard Bible). Southern Baptists' confessional statement, The
Baptist Faith and Message, affirms: "Marriage is the uniting of one man and one woman
in covenant commitment for a lifetime. It is God's unique gift to reveal the union
between Christ and His church and to provide for the man and the woman in marriage
the framework for intimate companionship, the channel of sexual expression according
to Biblical standards, and the means for procreation of the human race." Article XVIII,
"The Family," at http://www.sbc.net/BFM/bfm2000.asp.

8 One reason religious people desire to protect the sacred institution of marriage is 9 that it uniquely promotes important social interests. The sexual relationship between a 10 man and a woman is the only relationship that can naturally result in the birth of 11 children. When that relationship takes place within marriage, children who are born to 12 that married couple are guaranteed an opportunity to know and be raised by their own 13 mother and father who are bound to one another and to the children their relationship 14 creates. When that ideal is not possible for a child or when a married couple cannot 15 have children, they can still promote marriage's childrearing purpose by providing a 16 17 mother and father for a child who would otherwise be deprived of that opportunity. 18 Marriage creates unity out of two corresponding genders. See Genesis 2:23-24. Thus, 19 it also promotes a setting for childbirth and childrearing in which children will be 20 provided role models and companionship from both sexes and ensured the unique 21 contributions both men and women make to child well being. See David Popenoe, Life 22 Without Father (1996) (sociological studies confirming these principles). 23 A recent statement signed by Dr. Richard Land, president of the ERLC, 24 effectively explains the nature of our concern with the institution of marriage: 25 Vast human experience confirms that marriage is the original and 26 most important institution for sustaining the health, education, and welfare of all persons in a society. Where marriage is honored, and where there 27

is a flourishing marriage culture, everyone benefits -- the spouses themselves, their children, the communities and societies in which they live. Where the marriage culture begins to erode, social pathologies of

2

Memorandum of Law, Brief Amicus Curiae, of the Ethics and Religious Liberty Commission SBC

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page7 of 25	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page7 of 25 every sort quickly manifest themselves. Unfortunately, we have witnessed over the course of the past several decades a serious erosion of the marriage culture in our own country. Perhaps the most telling and alarming indicator is the out-of-wedlock birth rate. Less than fifty years ago, it was under 5 percent. Today it is over 40 percent. Our society and particularly its poorest and most vulnerable sectors, where the out-of-wedlock birth rate is much higher even than the national average is paying a huge price in delinquency, drug abuse, crime, incarceration, hopelessness, and despair. Other indicators are widespread non-marital sexual cohabitation and a devastatingly high rate of divorce. "Manhattan Declaration: A Call of Christian Conscience" (see http://www.manhattandeclaration.org/the-declaration). A desire to protect the sacred institution of marriage and the social goods it	
10 11	promotes is the source of religious opposition to redefining marriage as the union of any	
12 13	two people. Such a redefinition would send the message that marriage is about nothing more than adult desires. As the Manhattan Declaration explains, redefining marriage to	
14	include same-sex couples "would lock into place the false and destructive belief that marriage is all about romance and other adult satisfactions, and not, in any intrinsic	
15 16	way, about procreation and the unique character and value of acts and relationships	
17	whose meaning is shaped by their aptness for the generation, promotion and protection	
18	of life." Redefining marriage sends a message that men and women are fungible and	
19 00	that children do not need both a mother and a father. Christians deplore this and other	
20 21	threats to the meaning and significance of marriage such as divorce, cohabitation, and	
22	unwed childbearing. As an aside, some commentators and critics of religious opposition to redefining	

23

24 25

26 27

28

As an aside, some commentators and critics of religious opposition to redefining marriage have suggested that our opposition to same-sex marriage is somehow disingenuous because Christians do not adhere to every Biblical injunction (such as dietary restrictions) in the Old Testament. The implication of this charge is that our opposition to same-sex sexual behavior is premised on bad faith. This is a distortion of Christian teachings. Christians recognize themselves as having been freed from the

Memorandum of Law, Brief Amicus Curiae, of the Ethics and Religious Liberty Commission SBC

strictures of the ceremonial and civil laws God gave to Moses recorded in the Old
Testament. Many of the moral laws followed by those who lived before Christ,
however, are still in force. These include the disapproval of same-sex sexual behavior
(Leviticus 18:22; Romans 1:24-27) and other non-marital sexual behavior (Exodus
20:14; 1 Corinthians 6:9-10), and the affirmation of the sacred nature of marriage
between a man and a woman (Genesis 2:23-25; Matthew 19:1-6).

8 9

10

II. RELIGIOUS SUPPORT FOR MARRIAGE AND OPPOSITION TO NONMARITAL SEXUALITY IS MOTIVATED BY LOVE.

Our love for God and our love for all people, not atavistic hatred of difference, motivates our opposition to all forms of non-marital sexual union, including between persons of the same sex. We believe that any sexual conduct outside the bond of marriage, the union of one man and one woman, is contrary to the will of God because God has designed marriage as the only appropriate context in which sexual relations should occur. Genesis 1:26-28; 2:18-25.

Engaging in sexual conduct outside the bond of marriage demeans the dignity of
the individual, ignores God's full plan for marriage, and interferes with a person's
relationship with God. Sexual activity within God's design is good. Hebrews 13:4.
When one engages in sexual activity outside of God's design, that person demeans his
or her dignity as God's creation in His image. Genesis 1:27; Romans 1:24-27;

22

23

1 Corinthians 6:18.

24 25

26

27

28

The Baptist Faith and Message affirms that marriage provides for a man and a woman "the framework for intimate companionship, the channel of sexual expression according to biblical standards, and the means for procreation of the human race." Article XVIII, "The Family." Genesis 1:26-28; 2:15-25; 3:1-20; Exodus 20:12; Deuteronomy 6:4-9; Proverb 22:6; Malachi 2:14-16; Matthew 19:3-9; Ephesians

5:21-33; 6:1-4; Colossians 3:18-21. Any sexual activity in which all of these
 fundamental purposes are not represented ignores God's design for marriage.

3 Our beliefs about marriage and human sexuality must also be understood in the 4 context of our love for all people. Matthew 22:39. There is no authority in Biblical 5 teachings for hatred of any people including those who identify as gay or lesbian. Of 6 course, sinful behavior cannot be approved or promoted, but we believe that all people 7 are sinners. Romans 3:23. There are many forms of sin, including dishonesty, gossip, 8 lust, envy, jealousy, love of money, and all sexual impurity (sexual relations outside the 9 marital union of a husband and wife). We invite all sinners (or in other words all people) 10 to develop a relationship with Jesus Christ. 11

Southern Baptists must, and do, pray that all people, including those who
experience same-sex attraction, come to know and love Jesus Christ and keep His
commandments. We aspire to follow the example of Jesus Christ who loves all people
and who extends the possibility of forgiveness and freedom from sin to all who seek
Him.

17

III.

18 19

TO CHARACTERIZE RELIGIOUS SUPPORT FOR MARRIAGE AS UNCONSTITUTIONAL ANIMUS THREATENS THE ABILITY OF RELIGIOUS PEOPLE TO PARTICIPATE IN PUBLIC DEBATE.

20 While Christians reach out in love to all who engage in sinful behavior of any 21 kind, they continue to affirm the nature of marriage as a sacred institution and strongly 22 oppose any attempt to redefine marriage as something other than the union of a man 23 and a woman. This concern with marriage is in line with other teachings on religious 24 issues like abortion, assisted suicide and attempts to banish religious influence and 25 expression from the public square. In all of these matters, religious people can and 26 should seek to influence public policy in the way they believe will be most beneficial to 27 society. The salutary effect of religious influence in public issues is manifest in our 28

5

Memorandum of Law, Brief Amicus Curiae, of the Ethics and Religious Liberty Commission SBC nation's Civil Rights Movement which was led by religious persons including pastors.
Like all citizens, Christians should be able to express their views and cast their votes on
these kinds of significant public matters. A faithful Christian will vote according to his or
her faith, whatever the matter being considered and a just society will never seek to
force a religious believer to vote or participate in the political process without reference
to her or his faith.

When that faith is treated as bigotry, however, the participation of Christians in public life is threatened. The U.S. Supreme Court has noted that "churches as much as secular bodies and private citizens" have the right to "take strong positions on public issues." *Walz v. Tax Commission of City of New York* (1970) 397 U.S. 664, 670.

Professor Richard Garnett has recently explained that our Constitution does not "require us to privatize our faith -- to disintegrate our lives -- before entering into the public square or taking up the responsibilities of citizenship." Richard W. Garnett, "Freedom for Faith, Freedom for All," *First Things* (December 2009) (see http://www.firstthings.com/article/2009/11/freedom-for-faith-freedom-for-all).

As Justice Thomas has noted, it would be "most bizarre" for our courts to
"reserve special hostility for those who take their religion seriously, who think their
religion should affect the whole of their lives." *Mitchell v. Helms* (2000) 530 U.S. 793,
827-828 (plurality). Treating religious views about marriage as nothing more than
irrational hatred expresses just such hostility for those who believe religion should affect
their voting and participation in the political process.

We must underscore a point demonstrated above-to portray religious support for
 marriage (and, by extension, support for California's Proposition 8) as rooted in
 anti-homosexual animus is grossly inaccurate and deeply offensive.

27 28

///

7

8

9

10

11

Memorandum of Law, Brief Amicus Curiae, of the Ethics and Religious Liberty Commission SBC

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page11 of 25	
4		
1 2	CONCLUSION	
2 3	For the foregoing reasons, the Ethics and Religious Liberty Commission of the	
4	Southern Baptist Convention, as <i>amicus curiae</i> , respectfully requests that this	
5	Honorable Court uphold the constitutionality of Proposition 8.	
6	Respectfully submitted,	
7	/s/	
8	David L. Llewellyn, Jr. Attorney for <i>Amicus Curiae</i> , Ethics and Balicious Liberty Commission	
9	Ethics and Religious Liberty Commission of the Southern Baptist Convention	
10		
11		
12	ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER NO. 45	
13	Pursuant to General Order No. 45 of the Northern District of California, I attest	
14	that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other	
15 16	signatories to this document.	
16 17	/s/ David L. Llewellyn, Jr.	
18	Attorney for Amicus Curiae	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Memorandum of Law, Brief Amicus Curiae, of the Ethics and Religious Liberty Commission SBC 7	

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page12 of 25
1	PROOF OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE
2	I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this action. I am a resident of or
3	employed in the county where the document(s) described below were served. My business address is 5530 Birdcage Street, Suite 210, Citrus Heights, California 95610. I served the document(s) described below on the interested parties in this action by
4 5	filing them electronically with the United States District Court for the Northern District of California using the Electronic Court Filing (ECF) system.
6	Date of service:January 8, 2010Location of service:Citrus Heights, California
7 8	Description of document(s): MEMORANDUM OF LAW, BRIEF AMICUS CURIAE, OF THE ETHICS AND RELIGIOUS LIBERTY COMMISSION OF THE SOUTHERN
9	BAPTIST CONVENTION
10	
11	Addressee(s): See attached Service List.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at
26	Citrus Heights, California, January 8, 2010.
27	
28	/s/ David L. Llewellyn, Jr.

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page13 of 25
4	
1	SERVICE LIST
2	Rosanne C. Baxter Boies Schiller & Flexner LLP
3	333 Main Street Armonk, NY 10504
4	914-749-8200 914-749-8300 (fax)
5	rbaxter@bsfllp.com PRO HAC VICE
6	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Kristin M. Perry (Plaintiff)
7	Erin Brianna Bernstein
8	Office of the City Attorney of San Francisco 1390 Market Street, 7th Floor
9 10	San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-3975
10 11	Erin.Bernstein@sfgov.org ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing City and County of San Francisco Amicus)
12	Richard J. Bettan
12	Boies Schiller & Flexner LLP 575 Lexington Avenue
14	7th Floor New York, NY 10022
15	212-446-2300 212-446-2350 (fax)
16	rbettan@bsfllp.com PRO HAC VICE
17	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Jeffrey J. Zarrillo (Plaintiff), Paul T. Katami (Plaintiff), Sandra B. Stier (Plaintiff)
18	David Boies
19	Boies Schiller & Flexner LLP 333 Main Street
20	Armonk, NY 10504 914-749-8200
21	914-749-8300 (fax) PRO HAC VICE
22	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Jeffrey J. Zarrillo (Plaintiff), Kristin M. Perry (Plaintiff), Sandra B. Stier (Plaintiff), Paul T. Katami (Plaintiff)
23	Tara Lynn Borelli
24	Lambda Legal Defense & Education Fund 3325 Wilshire Blvd #1300
25	Los Angeles, CA 90010 213-382-7600
26	tborelli@lambdalegal.org
27	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Lavender Seniors of the East Bay (Intervenor Plaintiff), Our Family Coalition (Intervenor Plaintiff), Parents, Families, and Friends of Lashians and Cave (Intervenor Plaintiff)
28	Friends of Lesbians and Gays (Intervenor Plaintiff)

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page14 of 25
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr. Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197 213-229-7804 213-229-7520 (fax) tboutrous@gibsondunn.com ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Jeffrey J. Zarrillo (Plaintiff), Kristin M. Perry (Plaintiff), Paul T. Katami (Plaintiff), Sandra B. Stier (Plaintiff) James J. Brosnahan Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105 415-268-7000 415-268-7022 (fax) jbrosnahan@mofo.com ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Equality California (Amicus)
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	David E. Bunim Haas & Najarian 58 Maiden Lane Second Floor San Francisco, CA 94108 415-788-6330 415-391-0555 (fax) DBunim@haasnaja.com LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing William T. Criswell (Respondent)
17 18 19 20 21	Thomas R. Burke Davis Wright Tremaine LLP 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94111-6533 415/276-6500 415/276-6599 (fax) thomasburke@dwt.com ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Media Coalition (Interested Party)
22 23 24 25 26	Gordon Bruce Burns Attorney Generals Office, Dept. of Justice 1300 I Street, 17th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 916-324-3081 Gordon.Burns@doj.ca.gov LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Edmund G. Brown, Jr. (Defendant)
27 28	

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page15 of 25
1	James A Campbell
2	15100 N. 90th Street Scottsdale, AZ 85260
3	(480)444-0020 480-444-0028 (fax)
4	jcampbell@telladf.org PRO HAC VICE
5	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Proposition 8 Official Proponents (Intervenor Defendant), ProtectMarriage.com - Yes on 8, A Project of California
6	Renewal (Intervenor Defendant), Dennis Hollingsworth (Intervenor Defendant), Gail J.
7	Knight (Intervenor Defendant), Hak-Shing William Tam Intervenor Defendant), Mark A. Jansson (Intervenor Defendant), Martin F. Gutierrez (Intervenor Defendant)
8	Timothy D Chandler
9	Alliance Defense Fund 101 Parkshore Dr., Suite 100
10	Folsom, CA 95630 916-932-2850
11	tchandler@telladf.org ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing ProtectMarriage.com - Yes on 8, A Project
12	of California Renewal (Intervenor Defendant), Dennis Hollingsworth (Intervenor Defendant), Gail J. Knight (Intervenor Defendant), Hak-Shing William Tam Intervenor
13	Defendant), Mark A. Jansson (Intervenor Defendant), Martin F. Gutierrez (Intervenor Defendant)
14	Danny Yeh Chou
15	San Francisco City Attorney's Office 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
16	San Francisco, CA 94102 415-554-4655
17	danny.chou@sfgov.org ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing City and County of San Francisco (Amicus)
18	Matthew Albert Coles
19	ACLU LGBT & AIDS Project
20	125 Broad St. New York, NY 10004
21	212-549-2624 mcoles@aclu.org
22	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Lavender Seniors of the East Bay (Intervenor Plaintiff), Our Family Coalition (Intervenor Plaintiff), Parents, Families, and
23	Friends of Lesbians and Gays (Intervenor Plaintiff)
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page16 of 25
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Charles J. Cooper Cooper & Kirk 1523 New Hampshire Ave, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 202-220-9600 ccooper@cooperkirk.com PRO HAC VICE ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Proposition 8 Official Proponents (Intervenor Defendant), ProtectMarriage.com - Yes on 8, A Project of California Renewal (Intervenor Defendant), Dennis Hollingsworth (Intervenor Defendant), Gail J. Knight (Intervenor Defendant), Hak-Shing William Tam Intervenor Defendant), Mark A. Jansson (Intervenor Defendant), Martin F. Gutierrez (Intervenor Defendant)
8 9 10 11 12	Jon Warren Davidson Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund 3325 Wilshire Blvd Ste 1300 Los Angeles, CA 90010 (213) 382-7600, ext. 229 jdavidson@lambdalegal.org ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Our Family Coalition (Intervenor Plaintiff), Parents, Families, and Friends of Lesbians and Gays (Intervenor Plaintiff), Lavender
12 13 14 15 16 17	Seniors of the East Bay (Intervenor Plaintiff) Ethan D. Dettmer Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071 213-229-7804 edettmer@gibsondunn.com ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Jeffrey J. Zarrillo (Plaintiff), Kristin M. Perry (Plaintiff), Sandra B. Stier (Plaintiff), Paul T. Katami (Plaintiff)
18 19 20 21 22	Christopher Dean Dusseault Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP 333 S Grand Ave Los Angeles, CA 90071 213-229-7855 cdusseault@gibsondunn.com ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Jeffrey J. Zarrillo (Plaintiff), Kristin M. Perry (Plaintiff), Paul T. Katami (Plaintiff), Sandra B. Stier (Plaintiff)
23 24 25 26 27 28	James Dixon Esseks ACLU Foundation 2 Charlton St #14H New York, NY 10014 212-549-2623 jesseks@aclu.org ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Lavender Seniors of the East Bay (Intervenor Plaintiff), Our Family Coalition (Intervenor Plaintiff), Parents, Families, and Friends of Lesbians and Gays (Intervenor Plaintiff)

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page17 of 25
1 2	Ronald P. Flynn Office of the City Attorney 1390 Market Street, Seventh Floor
3	San Francisco, CA 94102 415 554-3901
4	415 554-3985 (fax) ronald.flynn@sfgov.org
5	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing City and County of San Francisco (Amicus)
6	Elizabeth O. Gill American Civil Liberties Union of No. Calif.
7	39 Drumm Street San Francisco, CA 94111
8	415-621-2493 415-255-8437 (fax)
9	egill@aclunc.org ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing ACLU Foundation of Northern California
10 11	(Amicus)
12	Jeremy Michael Goldman Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP
13	1999 Harrison Street Suite 900 Ookland CA 04612
14	Oakland, CA 94612 510-874-1000 510-874-1460 (fax)
15	jgoldman@bsfllp.com ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Jeffrey J. Zarrillo (Plaintiff), Kristin M. Perry
16	(Plaintiff), Paul T. Katami (Plaintiff), Sandra B. Stier (Plaintiff)
17	Patrick John Gorman Wild, Carter & Tipton
18	246 West Shaw Avenue Fresno, CA 93704
19 20	559-224-2131 559-229-7295 (fax)
20 21	pgorman@wctlaw.com LEAD ATTORNEY
22	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing The Family Research Council (Amicus)
23	Eric Grant Hicks Thomas LLP
24	8001 Folsom Blvd., Ste. 100 Sacramento, CA 95826
25	(916) 388-0833 (916) 691-3261 (fax) grapt@bicks.themas.com
26	grant@hicks-thomas.com LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Doug Swardstrom (Objector)
27	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Doug Swardstrom (Objector)
28	

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page18 of 25
1 2	Theane Evangelis Kapur Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP 333 South Grand Avenue
3	Los Angeles, CA 90071 213-229-7804
4	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Jeffrey J. Zarrillo (Plaintiff), Kristin M. Perry (Plaintiff), Paul T. Katami (Plaintiff), Sandra B. Stier (Plaintiff)
5	Michael W. Kirk
6	Cooper & Kirk, PLLC 1523 New Hampshire Avenue, NW Weakington, DC 2002
7	Washington, DC 2003 202.220.9600 PRO HAC VICE
8 9	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Proposition 8 Official Proponents (Intervenor Defendant)
10	Claude Franklin Kolm County of Alameda
11	1221 Oak Street, Suite 450 Oakland, CA 94612-4296
12	510-272-6710 claude.kolm@acgov.org
13 14	LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Patrick O'Connell (Defendant)
14	Charles Salvatore LiMandri
16	Law Offices of Charles S. LiMandri P.O. Box 9120
17	Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067 (858) 759-9930
18	(858) 759-9938 (fax) cslimandri@limandri.com
19	LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Attorney Charles S LiMandri (Plaintiff)
20	Rena M Lindevaldsen Liberty Counsel
21	100 Mountainview Rd, Ste 2775 Lynchberg, VA 24502
22 23	434-592-7000 PRO HAC VICE
23 24	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Campaign for California Families (Intervenor Defendant)
25	
26	
27	
28	

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page19 of 25
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Jordan W. Lorence Alliance Defense Fund 801 G Street NW Washington, DC 20001 (202) 393-8690 jlorence@telladf.org ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Hak-Shing William Tam (Intervenor Defendant), Proposition 8 Official Proponents (Intervenor Defendant), ProtectMarriage.com - Yes on 8, A Project of California Renewal (Intervenor Defendant), Gail J. Knight (Intervenor Defendant), Dennis Hollingsworth (Intervenor Defendant), Mark A. Jansson (Intervenor Defendant), Martin F. Gutierrez (Intervenor Defendant)
8 9 10 11 12 13	Manuel Francisco Martinez Office of the County Counsel, County of Alameda 1221 Oak Street, Suite 450 Oakland, CA 94612 510-891-3306 510-272-5020 (fax) manuel.martinez@acgov.org ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Patrick O'Connell (Defendant) Mary Elizabeth McAlister Liberty Counsel
14 15 16 17 18 19	100 Mountain View Rd Ste 2775 Lynchburg, VA 24502 434-592-7000 court@lc.org ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Campaign for California Families (Intervenor Defendant) Matthew Dempsey McGill Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP 1050 Connecticut Ave., NW Washington, DC 20036-5306
20 21 22	202-955-8668 mmcgill@gibsondunn.com PRO HAC VICE ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Jeffrey J. Zarrillo (Plaintiff), Kristin M. Perry (Plaintiff), Paul T. Katami (Plaintiff), Sandra B. Stier (Plaintiff)
23 24 25 26 27 28	Kenneth C. Mennemeier Mennemeier Glassman & Stroud LLP 980 9th St, Ste 1700 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-553-4000 916-553-4011 (fax) kcm@mgslaw.com LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Arnold Schwarzenegger (Defendant), Linette Scott (Defendant), Mark B. Horton (Defendant)

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page20 of 25
1	Shannon Minter National Center For Lesbian Rights
2	870 Market St, Ste 570 San Francisco, CA 94102
3	415-392-6257 sminter@nclrights.org
4	LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing National Center for Lesbian Rights
5	(Amicus), Lavender Seniors of the East Bay (Intervenor Plaintiff), Parents, Families, and Friends of Lesbians and Gays (Intervenor Plaintiff), Our Family Coalition
6	(Intervenor Plaintiff)
7 8	Enrique Antonio Monagas Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP
9	333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071
10	213-229-7804 emonagas@gibsondunn.com
11	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Jeffrey J. Zarrillo (Plaintiff), Kristin M. Perry (Plaintiff), Sandra B. Stier (Plaintiff), Paul T. Katami (Plaintiff),
12	Jennifer Lynn Monk
13	Advocates for Faith and Freedom 24910 Las Brisas Road
14	Suite 110 Murrieta, CA 92562
15	951-304-7583 951-600-4996 (fax)
16	jmonk@faith-freedom.com LEAD ATTORNEY
17 18	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Board of Supervisors of Imperial County (Intervenor Defendant), County of Imperial of the State of California (Intervenor Defendant), Isabel Vargas (Intervenor Defendant)
19	Howard C. Nielson, Jr.
20	Cooper & Kirk PLLC 1523 New Hampshire Ave NW
21	Washington, DC 20036 202-220-9600
22	hnielson@cooperkirk.com PRO HAC VICE
23	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Proposition 8 Official Proponents (Intervenor Defendant), ProtectMarriage.com - Yes on 8, A Project of California
24	Renewal (Intervenor Defendant), Dennis Hollingsworth (Intervenor Defendant), Gail J. Knight (Intervenor Defendant), Hak-Shing William Tam (Intervenor Defendant), Mark A.
25	Jansson (Intervenor Defendant), Martin F. Gutierrez (Intervenor Defendant)
26	
27	
28	

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page21 of 25
1 2	Austin R. Nimocks Alliance Defense Fund 801 G Street NW
3	Washington, DC 20001 (202) 393-8690
4	animocks@telladf.org
5	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing ProtectMarriage.com - Yes on 8, A Project of California Renewal (Intervenor Defendant), Hak-Shing William Tam Intervenor
6	Defendant), Proposition 8 Official Proponents (Intervenor Defendant), Gail J. Knight (Intervenor Defendant), Martin F. Gutierrez (Intervenor Defendant), Dennis Hollingsworth (Intervenor Defendant), Mark A. Jansson (Intervenor Defendant)
7	
8	Theodore B Olson Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP
9	1050 Connecticut Ave, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5306
10	202-955-8668 202-467-0539 (fax)
11	tolson@gibsondunn.com LEAD ATTORNEY
12	PRO HAC VICE ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Kristin M. Perry (Plaintiff), Paul T. Katami
13	(Plaintiff), Jeffrey J. Zarrillo (Plaintiff), Sandra B. Stier (Plaintiff)
14	Tamar Pachter Office of the California Attorney General
15	455 Golden Gate Ave, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
16	415-703-5970 415-703-1234 (fax)
17	Tamar.Pachter@doj.ca.gov ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Edmund G. Brown, Jr. (Defendant)
18	Jesse Panuccio
19	Cooper & Kirk PLLC 1523 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
20	Washington, DC 20036 202-220-9600
21	PRO HAC VICE ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Campaign for California Families
22	(Intervenor Defendant), Proposition 8 Official Proponents (Intervenor Defendant), Dennis Hollingsworth (Intervenor Defendant), Gail J. Knight (Intervenor Defendant),
23 24	Hak-Shing William Tam (Intervenor Defendant), Mark A. Jansson (Intervenor Defendant), Martin F. Gutierrez (Intervenor Defendant)
24 25	
23 26	
20 27	
28	
_0	

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page22 of 25
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page22 of 25 Peter A. Patterson Cooper & Kirk PLLC 1523 New Hampshire Ave NW Washington, DC 20036 202-220-9600 ppatterson@cooperkirk.com PRO HAC VICE ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Proposition 8 Official Proponents (Intervenor Defendant), ProtectMarriage.com - Yes on 8, A Project of California Renewal (Intervenor Defendant), Gail J. Knight (Intervenor Defendant), Hak-Shing William Tam (Intervenor Defendant), Mark A. Jansson (Intervenor Defendant), Martin F. Gutierrez (Intervenor Defendant), Dennis Hollingsworth (Intervenor Defendant) Sarah Elizabeth Piepmeier Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 555 Mission Street
9 10 11 12 13	Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 393-8200 (415) 374-8404 (fax) spiepmeier@gibsondunn.com ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Jeffrey J. Zarrillo (Plaintiff), Kristin M. Perry (Plaintiff), Paul T. Katami (Plaintiff), Sandra B. Stier (Plaintiff)
14 15 16 17 18	Jennifer Carol Pizer Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund, Inc. 3325 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 1300 Los Angeles, CA 90010-1729 213-382-7600 jpizer@lambdalegal.org ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Parents, Families, and Friends of Lesbians and Gays (Intervenor Plaintiff), Lavender Seniors of the East Bay (Intervenor Plaintiff), Our Family Coalition (Intervenor Plaintiff)
 19 20 21 22 23 24 	Andrew Perry Pugno Law Offices of Andrew P Pugno 101 Parkshore Dr #100 Folsom, CA 95630-4726 andrew@pugnolaw.com ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing ProtectMarriage.com - Yes on 8, A Project of California Renewal (Intervenor Defendant), Dennis Hollingsworth (Intervenor Defendant), Gail J. Knight (Intervenor Defendant), Hak-Shing William Tam (Intervenor Defendant), Mark A. Jansson (Intervenor Defendant), Martin F. Gutierrez (Intervenor Defendant)
25 26 27	
28	

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page23 of 25
1	
1 2	Brian W Raum Alliance Defense Fund 15100 N. 90th Street
3	Scottsdale, AZ 85260 480-444-0020
4	braum@telladf.org ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Proposition 8 Official Proponents
5	(Intervenor Defendant), ProtectMarriage.com - Yes on 8, A Project of California Renewal (Intervenor Defendant), Gail J. Knight (Intervenor Defendant), Hak-Shing
6	William Tam (Intervenor Defendant), Mark A. Jansson (Intervenor Defendant), Dennis Hollingsworth (Intervenor Defendant), Martin F. Gutierrez (Intervenor Defendant)
7	Josh Schiller
8	Boies Schiller & Flexner LLP 575 Lexington Avenue
9	7th Floor New York, NY 10022
10	212-446-2300 212-446-2350 (fax)
11	jischiller@bsfllp.com PRO HAC VICE
12 13	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Jeffrey J. Zarrillo (Plaintiff), Paul T. Katami (Plaintiff), Sandra B. Stier (Plaintiff)
14	Alan Lawrence Schlosser ACLU Foundation of Northern California, Inc.
15	39 Drumm Street San Francisco, CA 94111
16	415-621-2493 415-255-8437 (fax)
17	aschlosser@aclunc.org ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing ACLU Foundation of Northern California
18 19	(Amicus), Lavender Seniors of the East Bay (Intervenor Plaintiff), Our Family Coalition (Intervenor Plaintiff), Parents, Families, and Friends of Lesbians and Gays (Intervenor Plaintiff)
20	Christopher Francis Stoll
21	National Center for Lesbian Rights 870 Market St, Suite 370
22	San Francisco, CA 94102 415-392-6257
23	cstoll@nclrights.org ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing National Center for Lesbian Rights
24	(Amicus), Parents, Families, and Friends of Lesbians and Gays (Intervenor Plaintiff), Lavender Seniors of the East Bay (Intervenor Plaintiff), Our Family Coalition
25	(Intervenor Plaintiff)
26	
27 28	
20	

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page24 of 25
1	Andrew Walter Stroud
2	Mennemeie Glassman & Stroud 980 9th Street, Suite 1700
3	Sacramento, CA 95814-2736 (916)553-4000
4	stroud@mgslaw.com ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Arnold Schwarzenegger (Defendant),
5	Linette Scott (Defendant), Mark B. Horton (Defendant)
6	Amir Cameron Tayrani Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP
7	1050 Connecticut Ave NW, Ste 900 Washington, DC 20036
8	202-887-3692 ATayrani@gibsondunn.com
9	PRÓ HAC VICE ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Jeffrey J. Zarrillo (Plaintiff), Kristin M. Perry
10	(Plaintiff), Paul T. Katami (Plaintiff), Sandra B. Stier (Plaintiff)
11	David H. Thompson Cooper & Kirk PLLC
12	1523 New Hampshire Ave NW Washington, DC 20036
13 14	(202) 220-9600 dthompson@cooperkirk.com
14 15	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Proposition 8 Official Proponents (Intervenor Defendant), ProtectMarriage.com - Yes on 8, A Project of California
15	Renewal (Intervenor Defendant), Dennis Hollingsworth (Intervenor Defendant), Gail J. Knight (Intervenor Defendant), Hak-Shing William Tam (Intervenor Defendant), Mark A.
17	Jansson (Intervenor Defendant), Martin F. Gutierrez (Intervenor Defendant)
18	Terry Lee Thompson Terry L. Thompson, Attorney at Law
19	P.O. Box 1346 Alamo, CA 94507
20	925/855-1507 tl_thompson@earthlink.net
21	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Hak-Shing William Tam (Intervenor Defendant)
22	Ilona Margaret Turner
23	National Ctr for Lesbian Rights 870 Market St
24	Suite 370 San Francisco, CA 94102
25	415-392-6257 iturner@nclrights.org
26	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing National Center for Lesbian Rights (Amicus), Lavender Seniors of the East Bay (Intervenor Plaintiff), Our Family Coalition
27	(Intervenor Plaintiff), Parents, Families, and Friends of Lesbians and Gays (Intervenor Plaintiff)
28	

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document384 Filed01/08/10 Page25 of 25
1	Robert Henry Tyler
2	Advocates for Faith & Freedom 24910 Las Brisas Road
3	Suite 110 Murrieta, CA 92562
4	951-304-7583 951-600-4996 (fax)
5	rtyler@faith-freedom.com ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Board of Supervisors of Imperial County
6	(Intervenor Defendant), County of Imperial of the State of California (Intervenor Defendant), Isabel Vargas (Intervenor Defendant)
7	Theodore Hideyuki Uno
8	Boies Schiller & Flexner LLP 1999 Harrison St, Ste 900
9	Oakland, CA 94612 510-874-1000
10	tuno@bsfllp.com PRO HAC VICE
11 12	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Kristin M. Perry (Plaintiff), Paul T. Katami (Plaintiff), Jeffrey J. Zarrillo (Plaintiff), Sandra B. Stier (Plaintiff)
12	Christine Van Aken
14	Office of the City Attorney 1390 Market St, 7th Floor
15	San Francisco, CA 94102 415-554-3875
16	415-554-3985 (fax) christine.van.aken@sfgov.org
17	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing City and County of San Francisco (Amicus)
18	Judy Whitehurst
19	Office of County Counsel, County of Los Angeles 500 West Temple St
20	Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213) 974-1845
21	JWhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov LEAD ATTORNEY
22	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Dean C. Logan (Defendant)
23	Tobias Barrington Wolff University of Pennsylvania Law School
24	3400 Chestnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19104-6204
25	(215) 898-7471 PRO HAC VICE
26	ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Equality California (Amicus)
27	
28	