

2010 OFFICERS AND BOARD OF DIRECTORS

January 8, 2010

Arturo J. González President Via Personal Delivery

Priya S. Sanger President-Elect

Honorable Phyllis Hamilton Chair of the Rules Committee United States Courthouse

Kelly M. Dermody Treasurer

1301 Clay Street Oakland, California 94612

Christopher Kearney Secretary

> Honorable Vaughn Walker Chief Judge United States Courthouse 450 Golden Gate Avenue

Monty Agarwal
Merri A. Baldwin
Doris Cheng
Daniel Dean
Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
Melinda Haag
Jonathan Hayden
David Hopmann
Victor M. Hwang
Catherine S. Kirkman
Susan Kumagai
Timothy W. Moppin
Isabelle M. Salgado
Tracy Salisbury

San Francisco, California 94102

BARRISTERS CLUB OFFICERS

Doron Weinberg

Robert Sims

David Tsai Guy B. Wallace Dear Honorable Judge Hamilton and Chief Judge Walker:

Re: Proposed Revision to Local Rule 77-3

Avin P Sharma President We write on behalf of the Bar Association of San Francisco and its 7600 members to strongly endorse the proposed amendment to Northern District Local Rule 77-3 that would permit public broadcasting of proceedings in the Northern District. A pilot program for public broadcast of appropriate civil cases makes good sense. Public access to the courts is and always has been a hallmark of the United States Constitution. Such access is especially important in matters of wide public interest.

Sara Noel Vice-President

We also believe that the upcoming Proposition 8 trial, *Perry v. Schwarzenegger*, is an appropriate matter for public broadcasting because of the widespread public interest about the important constitutional issues raised in the case. The *Perry* case is of enormous significance to millions of Californians and to people across the United States, and the importance of accurate coverage on issues of constitutional significance cannot be underscored. For these reasons, the Bar Association of San Francisco supports the proposed amendment to permit public broadcasting of this trial.

Kaelyn J. Romey Treasurer

Lauren Schall Secretary

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AND GENERAL COUNSEL

Daniel Buckhardt

Honorable Phyllis Hamilton Honorable Vaughn Walker Page Two

While some have expressed concern that public broadcasting of judicial proceedings may lead to "grandstanding" by lawyers or their clients, we are confident that the Court can control any such conduct. All lawyers in this and any other case are required to conform to the Standards of Professional Conduct (as mandated by Local Rule 11-4) and there is no reason to believe that the lawyers in this case will fail to do so.

Concerns about jurors are easily and commonly addressed by prohibiting the broadcasting of any juror. Witness concerns might be an issue with respect to children (which can be addressed by concealing a child's face), but it should not be an issue with respect to adults. The Court can require that any camera be kept at a considerable distance, and that no extra lighting be employed. In most cases, the witness will soon forget that there is a camera in the courtroom. And in any event, the proceeding is a public one that will require witnesses to testify before a live audience and reporters. Thus, witness intimidation should not be an issue.

Finally, any concern regarding lawyers, jurors, or witnesses is substantially outweighed by the public's right to access to the courts, especially when important constitutional issues are at stake.

We thank the Court for allowing the public an opportunity to observe these proceedings. Although we have a strong and dedicated print media, there is no substitute for watching the actual proceedings.

Sincerely,

Arturo J. González President Bar Association of San Francisco

ce: Honorable Alex Kozinski Chief Judge, Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals