Perry et al v. Schwarzenegger et al

Doc. 414

## NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION

Pursuant to Northern District of California Civil Local Rules ("Civil Local Rules") 7-11 and 79-5(d), and paragraph 10 of the Protective Order entered in this action on January 7, 2010, *see* Doc #361, ¶ 10, Plaintiffs hereby move for administrative relief for a sealing order:

- 1. Email message from Bill May to Ned Dolejsi, sent on June 25, 2008, with the subject line "Report on Evangelical Teleconference today," and four (4) attachments, Bates numbered DEFINT\_PM\_005614 DEFINT\_PM\_005662, attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Rebecca Justice Lazarus in Support of Administrative Motion to Seal Documents Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(d) ("Justice Lazarus Decl.").
- 2. Email message from Bill May to Ron Prentice, sent on June 14, 2008, with the subject line "heads up 'urgent," and two attachments, Bates numbered DEFINT\_PM\_005767 DEFINT\_PM\_005772, attached as Exhibit B to Justice Lazarus Decl.
- 3. Email message from Kenyn Cureton to ronp@californiafamily.org, sent on August 25, 2008, with the subject line "Stand for Marriage Materials," and one attachment, Bates numbered DEFINT PM\_005385 DEFINT\_PM\_005399, attached as Exhibit C to Justice Lazarus Decl.

Plaintiffs first received these documents from Proponents on January 10, 2010—just one day before the beginning of trial—following this Court's January 8, 2010, order compelling production on a rolling basis. Doc #372 at 5. Civil Local Rule 79-5(d) provides that: "If a party wishes to file a document that has been designated confidential by another party pursuant to a protective order, or if a party wishes to refer in a memorandum or other filing to information so designated by another party, the submitting party must file and serve an Administrative Motion for sealing order . . . ."

Plaintiffs submit this Administrative Motion because they wish to introduce the above-referenced documents received from Proponents as evidence at trial, which has already commenced. Plaintiffs request that, in the event the Court determines that it is not appropriate to file the above-referenced documents under seal, the Court allow Plaintiffs to file the documents in the public record.

//

//

//

## Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document414 Filed01/12/10 Page3 of 4

Civil Local Rule 79-5(a) prohibits the sealing of documents or information by agreement of the parties, and therefore the parties are unable to enter into such a stipulation pursuant to Civ. Local Rule 7-11(a). Pursuant to Local Rule 79-5(d), Plaintiffs have concurrently lodged the documents referenced herein with the court.

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Plaintiffs first received the documents referenced in this motion from Proponents on January 10, 2010—just one day before the beginning of trial—following this Court's January 8, 2010, order compelling production on a rolling basis. Doc #372 at 5. Civil Local Rule 79-5(d) provides that: "If a party wishes to file a document that has been designated confidential by another party pursuant to a protective order, or if a party wishes to refer in a memorandum or other filing to information so designated by another party, the submitting party must file and serve an Administrative Motion for sealing order . . . ."

Plaintiffs submit this Administrative Motion because they wish to introduce the above-referenced documents received from Proponents as evidence at trial, which has already commenced. Plaintiffs request that, in the event the Court determines that it is not appropriate to file the above-referenced documents under seal, the Court allow Plaintiffs to file the documents in the public record.

// // //

//

22 //

//

//

//

//

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24 | 25 |

2627

28 //

## Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document414 Filed01/12/10 Page4 of 4

1	In a concurrently filed Motion to Shorten Time, Plaintiffs further request that the Court rule on the motion to file under seal before the commencement of evidence on January 12, 2010.	
2		
3		
4		Respectfully submitted,
5	DATED: January 12, 2010	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP Theodore B. Olson
6		Theodore B. Olson Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr. Christopher D. Dusseault
7		Ethan D. Dettmer Matthew D. McGill
8		Amir C. Tayrani Sarah E. Piepmeier
9		Theane Evangelis Kapur Enrique A. Monagas
10		
11		By: /s/ Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr.
12		and
13		BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
14		David Boies Jeremy M. Goldman Roseanne C. Baxter
15 16		Roseanne C. Baxter Richard J. Bettan Beko O. Richardson
17		Theodore H. Uno
18		Attorneys for Plaintiffs KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER,
19		PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		3

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP