1	COOPER AND KIRK, PLLC Charles J. Cooper (DC Bar No. 248070)*	
2	ccooper@cooperkirk.com	
	David H. Thompson (DC Bar No. 450503)*	
3	dthompson@cooperkirk.com Howard C. Nielson, Jr. (DC Bar No. 473018)*	
4	hnielson@cooperkirk.com	
_	Nicole J. Moss (DC Bar No. 472424)*	
5	nmoss@cooperkirk.com	
	Peter A. Patterson (OH Bar No. 0080840)*	
6	ppatterson@cooperkirk.com 1523 New Hampshire Ave. N.W., Washington, D.C	20036
7	Telephone: (202) 220-9600, Facsimile: (202) 220-96	
<i>'</i>		
8	LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW P. PUGNO	
0	Andrew P. Pugno (CA Bar No. 206587) andrew@pugnolaw.com	
9	101 Parkshore Drive, Suite 100, Folsom, California	95630
10	Telephone: (916) 608-3065, Facsimile: (916) 608-30	
	ALLIANCE DECENCE CUND	
11	ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND Brian W. Raum (NY Bar No. 2856102)*	
12	braum@telladf.org	
	James A. Campbell (OH Bar No. 0081501)*	
13	jcampbell@telladf.org	
14	15100 North 90th Street, Scottsdale, Arizona 85260 Telephone: (480) 444-0020, Facsimile: (480) 444-00	128
14	Telephone. (400) 444-0020, I desimile. (400) 444-00	520
15	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT-INTERVENORS DENNIS	
1.0	GAIL J. KNIGHT, MARTIN F. GUTIERREZ, MARK A. JA and PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM – YES ON 8, A	ANSSON,
16	PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA RENEWAL	
17		
	* Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>	
18	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT	
	WDIGWIN A DEDDIN GANDO A D. GWED DANK	ı
20	KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL	CASE NO. 09-CV-2292 VRW
21	T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO,	Children of CV 2292 Victor
	Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANT-INTERVENORS
22	Trainents,	DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH, GAIL J. KNIGHT, MARTIN F. GUTIERREZ,
23	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	MARK A. JANSSON,
		AND PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM'S
24	Plaintiff-Intervenor,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR SEALING ORDER PURSUANT TO
25		LOCAL RULES 7-11 AND 79-5
	V.	
26	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official	
27	capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND G.	
<u>~ </u>	BROWN, JR., in his official capacity as Attorney	
28	General of California; MARK B. HORTON, in his	

1	official capacity as Director of the California
2	Department of Public Health and State Registrar of Vital Statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in her official
3	capacity as Deputy Director of Health Information & Strategic Planning for the California Department
4	of Public Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his
5	official capacity as Clerk-Recorder for the County of Alameda; and DEAN C. LOGAN, in his official
6	capacity as Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk for the County of Los Angeles,
7	Defendants,
8	and
9	PROPOSITION 8 OFFICIAL PROPONENTS
10	DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH, GAIL J. KNIGHT, MARTIN F. GUTIERREZ, HAK-
11	SHING WILLIAM TAM, and MARK A. JANSSON; and PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM –
12	YES ON 8, A PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA RENEWAL,
13	Defendant-Intervenors.
14	
15	Additional Counsel for Defendant-Intervenors
16	ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND
17	Timothy Chandler (CA Bar No. 234325) tchandler@telladf.org
18	101 Parkshore Drive, Suite 100, Folsom, California 95630 Telephone: (916) 932-2850, Facsimile: (916) 932-2851
19	Jordan W. Lorence (DC Bar No. 385022)*
20	jlorence@telladf.org Austin R. Nimocks (TX Bar No. 24002695)*
21	animocks@telladf.org 801 G Street NW, Suite 509, Washington, D.C. 20001
2223	Telephone: (202) 393-8690, Facsimile: (202) 347-3622
24	* Admitted pro hac vice
25	
26	
27	
28	

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION

Pursuant to Northern District of California Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(b), Defendant-Intervenors Dennis Hollingsworth, Gail Knight, Martin Gutierrez, Mark Jansson, and ProtectMarriage.com ("Proponents") hereby move for an administrative order sealing (1) their Motion to Supplement the Record and (2) the exhibits that motion offers for admission into the evidentiary record. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(b), Proponents are today lodging with the Clerk a proposed order sealing the documents as well as the documents themselves. Proponents are also lodging a second copy of the documents with the Clerk for the Court's chambers.

At the close of trial, this Court granted Proponents' request to hold the evidentiary record open pending resolution of Proponents' motion to compel discovery against groups that opposed Proposition 8, including No on Proposition 8, Campaign for Marriage Equality, A Project of the American Civil Liberties Union ("ACLU") and Equality California. In light of the resolution of these motions, this Court on April 28, 2010 ordered that Proponents "shall serve and file any supplement to the evidentiary record not later than May 5, 2010 at 5 PM PDT." Doc # 650 at 1.

Proponents have prepared a motion to supplement the record with exhibits consisting of records produced by the ACLU and Equality California, and now ask this Court for an order sealing both the motion and the exhibits. Such an order is necessary because it is our understanding that the ACLU and Equality California designated the exhibits "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" under the protective order governing this case. *See* Amended Protective Order, Doc # 425; March 5, 2010 Order, Doc # 610 at 14 (granting Proponents' motion to compel production from No-on-8 groups including ACLU and Equality California, and providing that the groups "may produce documents pursuant to the terms of the protective order"); March 22, 2010 Order, Doc # 623 (denying objections to Doc # 610). Under the terms of the protective order, absent an agreement or court order to the contrary, "a Party may not file in the public record in this action any Protected Material. A Party that seeks to file under seal any Protected Material must comply with

¹ Proponents are also today serving these items on Plaintiffs and Plaintiff-Intervenor; we will serve any other party that represents that it desires service and that it will adhere to the provisions of the protective order governing this case. *See* Doc # 425.

Civil Local Rule 79-5." Doc # 425 at 12; see also id. at 3 (defining "Protected Material" as "any		
Disclosure or Discovery Material that is designated as 'Confidential' or 'Highly Confidential -		
Attorneys' Eyes Only' "); cf. Civ. L.R. 79-5(d). Proponents must also request leave to file their		
motion to supplement the record under seal, as it consists chiefly of references to and quotations		
from the exhibits designated for protection. See Doc # 425 at 3 ("The protections conferred by this		
Order cover not only Protected Material (as defined above), but also any information copied or		
extracted therefrom, as well as all copies, excerpts, summaries, or compilations thereof, plus		
testimony, conversations, or presentations by parties or counsel to or in court or in other settings that		
might reveal Protected Material.").		
Proponents do not concede that the ACLU and Equality California have properly designated the		
exhibits as protected under the terms of the protective order. Proponents are in the midst of		
reviewing the documents for the purpose of making that determination, as well as conferring with		
the ACLU and Equality California regarding their designations. Should we be unable to reach		
agreement with the ACLU and Equality California, we reserve our rights to challenge their		
designations. See Doc # 425 at 7-8.		
For these reasons, Proponents respectfully request an order sealing (1) their Motion to		
Supplement the Record and (2) the exhibits that motion offers for admission into the evidentiary		
record.		
Detad: May 5, 2010		
Dated: May 5, 2010		
COOPER AND KIRK, PLLC ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS-INTERVENORS		
DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH, GAIL J. KNIGHT,		
Martin F. Gutierrez, Mark A. Jansson, and ProtectMarriage.com – Yes on 8, A Project		
OF CALIFORNIA RENEWAL		
By: <u>/s/ Charles J. Cooper</u> Charles J. Cooper		
Charles J. Cooper		