	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document7-	5 Filed05/27/09 Page1 of 2
1	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP Theodore B. Olson, SBN 38137	
2	<i>tolson@gibsondunn.com</i> Matthew D. McGill (<i>pro hac vice</i> application pend	ing)
3	Amir C. Tayrani, SBN 229609 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Telephone: (202) 955-8668, Facsimile: (202) 467-0539	
4		
5	Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr., SBN 132009 tboutrous@gibsondunn.com	
6	Christopher D. Dusseault, SBN 177557 Ethan D. Dettmer, SBN 196046	
7	Theane Evangelis Kapur, SBN 243570 Enrique A. Monagas, SBN 239087	
8	333 S. Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 229-7804, Facsimile: (213) 229-7520	
9	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	
10	David Boies (<i>pro hac vice</i> application pending) <i>dboies@bsfllp.com</i>	
11	Theodore H. Uno, SBN 248603 333 Main Street, Armonk, New York 10504 Telephone: (914) 749-8200, Facsimile: (914) 749-8300	
12		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16		
17	KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J.	CASE NO. 09-CV-2292 VRW
18	ZARRILLO,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR A
19	Plaintiffs,	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
20	v. ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official	
21	capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., in his official capacity as	
22	Attorney General of California; MARK B. HORTON, in his official capacity as Director of	
23	the California Department of Public Health and State Registrar of Vital Statistics; LINETTE	
24	SCOTT, in her official capacity as Deputy Director of Health Information & Strategic	
25	Planning for the California Department of Public Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his official	
26	capacity as Clerk-Recorder for the County of Alameda; and DEAN C. LOGAN, in his official	
27	capacity as Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk for	
	the County of Los Angeles,	

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document7-5 Filed05/27/09 Page2 of 2

Plaintiffs have demonstrated that they are likely to succeed on the merits of their claim that Article I, § 7.5 of the California Constitution violates their federal constitutional rights to due process and equal protection. Plaintiffs have also established that this harm is irreparable, the balance of equities weighs in their favor, and injunctive relief will promote the public interest. Therefore, the Court finds that Plaintiffs' Motion for a Preliminary Injunction should be **GRANTED. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Defendants are hereby enjoined from enforcing Article I, § 7.5 of the California Constitution, until this Court orders otherwise.

> UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE CHIEF JUDGE VAUGHN R. WALKER

Date: